

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Weshington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/780,485	02/12/2001	Junichi Koshiba	Q63128	8114
	590 12/11/2002			
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3202			EXAMINER	
			VO, HAI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	1
			DATE MAILED: 12/11/2002	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/780,485	KOSHIBA ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Hai Vo	1771
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
I HE - External form of the control	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of the prov	J. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replepty within the statutory minimum of thirty (ad will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. 15 from the mailing date of this communication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	3 October 2002 .	
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 1	This action is non-final.	
3)∐ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of Claims	wance except for formal matte er <i>Ex part</i> e Quayle, 1935 C.D.	ers, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application	on.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) $3-7$ is/are withdraw	n from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1,2 and 8-10 is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Applicati	on Papers	·	
9)[] -	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10) 🔲 🗂	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disa	pproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		
12)[] 7	he oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
riority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	 Certified copies of the priority document 	ts have been received.	
:	Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Appl	ication No
	3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But the attached detailed Office action for a list.	ority documents have been red ureau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))	ceived in this National Stage
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest		
a)	\square The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application has been	received
tachment(ao phonty unuer 33 U.S.C. §§	120 and/or 121.
Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trace			

Art Unit: 1771

Election/Restrictions

Page 2

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1,2 and 8-10 in Paper No. 5
is acknowledged. The examiner absolutely agrees that Applicants reserve the right
to request rejoinder of the method claims upon indication of the product claims as
being allowable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Tojo et al (US 4,877,817). Tojo discloses a foam rubber having the spring strength HS JISA which is a hardness as determined according to JIS-K-6301, and a density of 0.7 meeting the specific ranges as set forth in the claims (table 1 and column 18, line 13). Tojo is silent as to the cell diameter of the foamed rubber. However, Tojo is using the same materials such as an ethylene-alpha-olefin-non-conjugated diene copolymer rubber and a

Art Unit: 1771

blowing agent azodicarbonamide and the same process (blending, heating, molding, and foaming) to form the vulcanizable and foamable rubber (column 10, lines 18-50). Furthermore, Tojo teaches the foamed rubber having a Mooney viscosity meeting the required ranges as set forth in the claims. It is the examiner's position that the cell diameter would be inherently present. Note <u>In re Best</u> 195 USPQ at 433, footnote 4 (CCPA 1977) as to the providing of this rejection under 35 USC 103 in addition to the rejection made under 35 USC 102.

With regard to claims 8 and 10, Tojo disloses the vulcanizable and foamed rubber being useful as an electrical insulating material covering the conducting part of electrical wires (column 9, line 68 et seq. and column 10, lines 25-28). The examiner interprets that the electrical wire is analogous to a rigid body as set forth in the claims.

With regard to claim 9, Tojo is using the same materials such as an ethylene-alphaolefin-non-conjugated diene copolymer rubber and a blowing agent
azodicarbonamide and the same process (blending, heating, molding, and foaming)
to form the vulcanizable and foamable rubber as Applicants (column 10, lines 1850). Furthermore, Tojo teaches the foamed rubber having a Mooney viscosity,
hardness properties and density meeting the required ranges as set forth in the
claims. It is the examiner's position that the Young's modulus would be inherently
present.

5. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tojo et al (US 4,877,817) in view of Okita et al (US 6,132,847). Tojo discloses the foamed

Art Unit: 1771

**

rubber can be used as a weather strip (column 10, line 8). Okita discloses a weather strip having a U-shaped grip 14 and a hollow seal 16 and a lip seal 18, all of which are extruded from the finely foamed rubber that is formed form a foamed rubber (figures 1 and 2, column 4, lines 63-66). The examiner interprets that either the U-shaped grip or the lip seal is analogous to a claimed rigid body. The U-shaped rib 14 of Okita is inserted with a metal 12 (figures 1 and 2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the foamed rubber in form of a weather strip having the structure as taught in Okita because it is a typical and desirable structure of the weather strip. With regard to claim 9, Tojo is using the same materials such as an ethylene-alphaolefin-non-conjugated diene copolymer rubber and a blowing agent azodicarbonamide and the same process (blending, heating, molding, and foaming) to form the vulcanizable and foamable rubber (column 10, lines 18-50) as Applicants. Furthermore, Tojo teaches the foamed rubber having a Mooney viscosity, hardness properties and density meeting the required ranges as set forth in the claims. It is the examiner's position that the Young's modulus would be inherently present.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2 and 8-10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 7. The 112 claim rejections and the art rejections in Paper no. 3 have been overcome by the presence response.

Art Unit: 1771

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai Vo whose telephone number is (703) 605-4426. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri, 8:30-6:00 and on alternating Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

HV December 7, 2002

TERREL MORRIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
LECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Page 5